

General Environmental Incident Summary

Incident: 2107 **Date/Time Notice:** 11/11/2013 10:02 **DEM Incident No:**

Responsible Party: Baker Hughes

Date Incident: 11/10/2013 **Time Incident:** 12:40 **Duration:**

County: Mountrail **Twp:** 153 **Rng:** 90 **Sec:** 16 **Qtr:** SW SE

Lat: 48.06748 **Long:** -102.25940 **Method:** Derived from TRS

Location Description: Wayzetta Section 16 three well pad (Parshall is the nearest town)

Submitted By: Luigi Heydt

Affiliation: EOG Resources, Inc.

Address: P.O. Box 639

City: Stanley

State: ND

Zip: 58784

Received By:

Contact Person:

-
-, ND

Distance Nearest Occupied Building:

Type of Incident: Hydraulic line leak

Description of Released Contaminant: Hydraulic Oil

Volume Spilled: 50.00 gallons

Ag Related: No

EPA Extremely Hazardous Substance: No

Reported to NRC: No

Cause of Incident:

Hydraulic line on blender unit failed

Risk Evaluation:

of Fatalities: 0

of Injuries: 0

Affected Medium: 03 - soil

Potential Environmental Impacts:

Action Taken or Planned:

The blender was set on a portable secondary containment and the majority of the hydraulic oil drained into the containment and was removed using a vac truck. The oil that did hit the ground was removed using absorbent pads. All of the fluid remained on the location surface in the work area.

Wastes Disposal Location: Unknown - Baker Hughes complete the clean up

Agencies Involved:

Updates

Date: 11/12/2013 **Status:** Reviewed - Follow-up Required

Author: Roberts, Kris

Updated Volume:

Notes:

Non-exempt waste involved. Follow-up is necessary.

Date: 12/2/2013 **Status:** Inspection

Author: Martin, Russell

Updated Volume:

Notes:

17:12, on location. Ice and snow on site. Met with company personnel, who directed me toward approximate location of leak given by contact. Equipment was a light unit, not a blender, that leaked its hydraulic oil. Equipment had been removed after fracking finished some time ago, so the area of the leak was now empty. No noticeable staining on ice or beneath snow at approximate location of leak.

Update 12/3/2013: See phone contact below for further followup required.

Date: 12/3/2013 **Status:** Correspondence

Author: Martin, Russell

Updated Volume:

Notes:

Phone call with company contact at approx. 11:30; mentioned he had forgotten about the blender unit that did have a leak at that location as well, as was stated in report. Light unit area inspection still valid as that unit also had a leak. Blender unit was placed on opposite side of site from where light unit was, so will need to return to site for followup and check east side.

Date: 12/6/2013 **Status:** Inspection

Author: Martin, Russell

Updated Volume:

Notes:

13:03, on location. Secondary containment berm at NE corner of site. No visible staining on berm or on facility berm or scoria ground just a few feet to the north and to the east.